292. Differing opinions

By Ron Klinger

Dealer East: North-South vulnerable

West North East South

1 Pass
1 Pass ?

What would you do as East with:

- **♦** A93
- **♥** J5
- ♦ AKJ8
- **♣** 9865

Today's deal comes from the semi-finals of the 2020 Australian Mixed Team Selection. On this deal, there was a different contract at each table. Had I been involved, there would have been a fifth contract.

Board 2: Dealer East: North-South vulnerable

```
North
         ★ J72
         ♥ AQ73
         ♦ 542
         ♣ K74
West
                   East
                   ♦ A93
★ KQ86
                   ♥ J5
• 642
♦93
                   ♦ AKJ8
♣ AQ32
                   ♣ 9865
         South
         ★ 1054
         ♥ K1098
         ♦ Q1076
         ♣ J10
```

Match 1:

```
        West
        North
        East
        South

        1♦
        Pass
        Pass

        1♣
        Pass
        All Pass
```

East's $3 \triangleq$ looks precipitate (read 'an overbid'). With a minimum opening, 3-card support for responder's major and a doubleton which does not amount to a stopper, my choice would have been $2 \triangleq$. Still, all was well for West in $3 \triangleq$. North led the $4 \triangleq$ 4. West captured South's $1 \pmod{10}$ 0 and played a heart. North took the $2 \pmod{10}$ 0 and switched to a trump, taken by the ace. South won the next heart and returned a trump. West won with the $4 \pmod{10}$ 1 keart, crossed to the $4 \pmod{10}$ 2 and played a club, ten tricks $4 \pmod{10}$ 3. At the other table:

West	North	East	South Pass	South led the ♥10. The defenders took four heart tricks and North switched to the ♦2, ace. Declarer finessed the ♣0, losing, and
1 ♠ 2 ♣ ⁽¹⁾	Pass Pass	1NT 2♦ ⁽²⁾	Pass Pass	North continued with the ◆4, king. Declarer played a club and made eight tricks, +120 but -2 Imps.
2NT ⁽³⁾	Pass	Pass	Pass	
(1) Puppet to 2♦(2) Forced(3) Inviting 3NT				Meanwhile, if it had started 1 →: 1 ♠, 2 ♠, West would try for game with 2NT asking about East's spade length. East would bid 3 ♠ (3 spades, 4-5 diamonds, 4 clubs), all pass, +130.

Board 2: Dealer East: North-South vulnerable

```
North
         ★ J72
         ♥ AQ73
         ♦ 542
         ♣ K74
West
                   East
                    ▲ A93
★ KQ86
9 642
                    ♥ J5
93
                    ♦ AKJ8
                    ♣ 9865
♣ AQ32
         South
         ♠ 1054
         ♥ K1098
         ◆ Q1076
         ♣ J10
```

In the other match:

```
West North East South 1NT Pass
Pass Dble Pass 2◆
Pass Pass Pass
```

I am sure there must be merit in North's double, even though South was unable to take action over 1NT. West led the ♣A. South also lost 3 spades and 3 diamonds, two down, −200. South was lucky West was unable to make a takeout double, which East could pass for penalties.

At the other table:

```
West North East South

1♣ Pass

1NT Pass 3NT All Pass
```

I am not familiar with the East-West methods, but not vulnerable, with only 24 HCP, no tens and no 5-card suit, 3NT would not be a favourite to succeed and so it proved. North led the ♥3. South won with the ♥K and returned the ♥10, taken by the ♥Q. Then came the ♥7 to the ♥8 and the ♣J, queen, king. North cashed the ♥A. West had the rest, one down, -50 and 6 Imps away.

For those who use Banzai Points (A = 5, K = 4, Q = 3, J = 2, 10 = 1), a 25-26 point 3NT requires 37-39 BP. Here West has 15 BP and East has 18, total 33, well below even the 36 suggested by 24 HCP. I like that 3 - 4 contract more and more.

Problem for Tomorrow:

Dealer North: East-West vulnerable

```
West North East South

1♠ Pass ?
```

What would you do as South with:

- **♠** J
- **V** --
- ◆ Q108762 ◆ K86532

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?

You recognize that you have finally reached your WONDER years when you: Wonder where you parked the car? Wonder where your keys are? Wonder what day it is? Wonder what the opening lead was?