336. Blood in the water

By Ron Klinger

Dealer East : Nil vulnerable

West	North	East	South
		1 ♦	Pass
1♠	Dble	Pass	2♣
?			

What would you do as West with:

▲ AQJ2
♥ K4
♦ 9543
♣ J53

With six boards to go in the semi-finals of the 2015 Bermuda Bowl, Sweden led USA2 by 236-222 and England led Poland by 205-193. The differences narrowed after this deal:

Board 91: Dealer East : Nil vulnerable



ENGLAND East-West:

West	North	East	South	
Bakhshi	No'sadzki	Gold	Kalita	
		$1NT^{(1)}$	Pass	
2 * ⁽²⁾	Pass	2♦	Pass	
2 ♠ ⁽³⁾	Pass	2NT	All Pass	
(1) 12-14 points				
(2) Please bid 2♦.				
(3) Four spades				

South led $\forall 6$: four – ten – ace. East finessed the $\mathbf{A}Q$, losing to the $\mathbf{A}K$. Back came the $\mathbf{\nabla}5$ to the $\mathbf{\nabla}K$. After $\mathbf{A}3$: seven – jack – ten, East cashed $\mathbf{A}A$, $\mathbf{A}J$ and played $\mathbf{A}3$: king – ace – seven. The $\mathbf{A}A$ would have given East seven tricks, but East returned a club. South took the $\mathbf{A}Q$, cashed the spades and a heart gave North the rest. That was two light, –100.

This was yesterday's problem:

Dealer East : Nil vulnerable

West	North	East	South
		1 뢒	Pass
1♠	Dble	Pass	?

What would you do with the South hand?

Board 91: Dealer East : Nil vulnerable



POLAND East-West:

West	North	East	South
Gawrys	Forrester	Klukowski	Robson
		1♣	Pass
1♠	Dble	Pass	Pass
Pass			

With no attractive action, South elected to pass for penalties. Maybe West will run. Maybe we can beat 14. Worst case scenario: they make 14 doubled.

Hoping for stronger trumps with South, North led the $\bigstar K$. West won and played the $\bigstar 4$, queen, ace. Then came $\forall K, \forall A$, heart ruff with the $\bigstar 2$. West made 4 spades, 2 hearts, the $\bigstar A$ and $\bigstar A$ for 8 tricks, East-West +260 and 8 Imps to POLAND, now trailing 201-205. Five boards to go.

Would you have found a different action as South in reply to North's takeout double? After all, passing cost North-South 260. In the other match, with East opening 1 + rather than 1 +, South did take the double out. The result was not pretty.

USA2 East-West:

West	North	East	South
Demuy	Upmark	Kranyak	Nystrom
		1♦	Pass
1♠	Dble	Pass	2*
Dble	Pass	Pass	2♥
Pass	Pass	Dble	All Pass

If you are not going to pass 1 doubled, you have two 3-card suits available. South chose clubs because they were stronger and so less likely to be doubled. Not so, when West produced what looks like a co-operative double. West's trumps are not good enough for a penalty, despite West's high-card strength facing an opening bid. It might have been a speculative double, based on power, as 2 doubled would not give North-South game.

If West's double was co-operative, East was happy to co-operate and South ran to 2^{\checkmark} . West was not prepared to double this into game, but East had no compunctions. East doubled, all pass. West began with the $\forall K$ and $\forall 4$ to the $\forall A$. East played a third round, eliminating any ruffs in the South hand. South drew the last trump and finished four off for -800.

SWEDEN East-West:

West	North	East	South	
Wrang	Wooldridge	Sylvan	Hurd	
		1♣	Pass	
1 ♥ ⁽¹⁾	Dble ⁽²⁾	Pass	Pass	
1NT	Pass	Pass	Pass	
(1) 4+ spades				

West took the \forall J underlead with the \forall A and played a low diamond won by the \diamond 10. The \forall K took the heart return and after \diamond 4, seven, jack, and \diamond 4 to the \diamond Q and \diamond K, West had a club and 2 tricks in each of the other suits, +90, but 12 Imps to USA2, now trailing 234-236.

(2) Takeout of spades.

Problem for Tomorrow:

Dealer East : North-South vulnerable

West North East South $2 \checkmark^{(1)} 2 \bigstar$ Pass ? (1) Weak two, 6 hearts, 6-9 points

What would you do as North with:

▲ J105
♥ A65
♦ QJ64
♣ J95

Made up your mind? Then consider this: Would it make a difference to your answer if the auction had been:

West North East South $2 \checkmark^{(1)} 2 \bigstar$ $3 \bigstar$? (1) Weak two, 6 hearts, 6-9 points

Why not phone or email your bridge partners and compare your answers and your reasoning?

One-celled organisms would outscore him on an IQ test. (British Military Staff Appraisal)